

APPROVED BY

Minutes of the meeting No SV1-07 of the Klaipeda State University of Applied Sciences Academic Council of 17 December 2015

(Minutes of the meeting No SV1-02 of the Klaipeda State University of Applied Sciences Academic Council wording as of 13 March 2019)

KLAIPEDA STATE UNIVERSITY CODE OF ACADEMIC ETHICS

I PART GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. The Code of Academic Ethics (hereinafter referred to as the Code) of the Klaipeda State University of Applied Sciences (hereinafter referred to as the University) establishes the values and ethical standards for the activities and conduct of the members of the academic community of the University (administration, lecturers, researchers, students, listeners).

2. The Code establishes provisions for conduct of business, regulates the most important standards of conduct to be avoided, which are not directly determined by the legal acts of the Republic of Lithuania, employment contracts and the internal regulations of the University.

3. Main Terms:

3.1. **Academic community** shall mean students, listeners, lecturers, administration, and researches of the University.

3.2. **Academic ethics** shall mean a set of universally recognised values that ensure transparency, fairness, lawfulness in the education and study process, as well as equality, non-discrimination, responsibility of the persons involved in the aforesaid process, and efficient use of resources, academic freedom, impartiality in the evaluation of research and study papers, trust, respect and protection of intellectual property.

3.3. **Academic integrity** shall mean a moral commitment that members of the Academic community of the University must adhere to. Academic integrity prohibits the plagiarism of study papers (mid-term assignments, independent papers, exams, projects, laboratory papers, term papers, final papers), copying, data falsification, procurement and sales, counterfeiting, submitting a foreign study work as your own, submission of study work for one subject, when delivering another, etc.

3.4. **Ethics Commission** shall mean the management body of the University responsible for overseeing compliance with the Code of Academic Ethics in the University and investigating cases of violation of the Code of Academic Ethics.

3.5. **Confidential information** shall mean any information which becomes known to the members of the Academic community of the University employed in an institution under the employment contract or providing services in accordance with a service contract; owned by the institution or employers of the institution; that has value because third parties are not aware thereof and it cannot be freely accessible to them, including but not limited to the intellectual property products or parts thereof produced by the employees of the institution, on the research conducted and / or its results, salaries of the employees, as well as any other data related to the activities performed by the institution, except for the information the disclosure of which is mandatory. Confidential information hereunder shall be also deemed to be any information about the third parties or persons related to the third parties which becomes known to the person in the course of work duties performed under the employment or service contract signed with the institution.

3.6. **Fundamental values of academic ethics** shall mean academic integrity, responsibility, equality, lawfulness, non-discrimination, accountability, transparency, efficient use

of resources, academic freedom, impartiality in the evaluation of research and study papers, trust and respect.

3.7. **Socially responsible behaviour** shall mean a conscious, ethical, mature behaviour of a member of the academic community of the University in external and internal environments.

3.8. **Parties concerned** shall mean persons directly or indirectly impacting the University through their activities or actions.

4. Other terms used in the Code shall be understood as defined in the Law on Science and Studies and other legal acts of the Republic of Lithuania.

PART II PURPOSE OF THE CODE OF ACADEMIC ETHICS

5. Objectives of the Code:

5.1. promote ethical behaviour when prevention of misconduct prevails over the punishment;

5.2. set forth limits of liability – the Code clearly regulates the liability of members of the academic community of the University and protects them from unlawful decisions and actions (action and / or omission);

5.3. perform function of moral education – the Code may be used in the teaching process of both formal and informal ethical behaviour to encourage members of the academic community of the University to follow the principles of academic ethics;

5.4. discipline members of the academic community of the University the Code provides ethical oversight and control over the quality of the activities and decision-making processes of the academic community of the University;

5.5. increase public confidence – the Code sets out the institutional values and standards to be pursued through real action, i.e., members of the academic community of the University must follow the requirements and principles of academic ethics;

5.6. regulate the behaviour of the members of the academic community of the University – assist the members of the academic community of the University in the assessment and addressing specific academic situations that raise questions of academic ethics.

6. Functions of the Code:

6.1. defend the needs and interests of the members of the academic community of the University, align organisational behaviour with public values and academic ethics;

6.2. be a key enabler of academic ethics in the University;

6.3. assist the members of the academic community of the University in the perception of their mission in the society, and understand social responsibility;

6.4. help achieve greater transparency in the activities of the University;

6.5. demonstrate to the public that the University cares about responsible, professional behaviour of the members of the academic community;

6.6. form and protect the image of the University;

6.7. define the criteria by which members of the academic community of the University shall interact;

6.8. encourage the academic community of the University to perform its functions fairly and efficiently;

6.9. encourage members of the academic community of the University to rely on the perception of their and institutional values;

6.10. specify measures to regulate behaviour (for example, incentives – reward, gratitude, etc., disciplinary sanctions – warning, suspension of academic activities, dismissal, etc.);

6.11. be a competence building tool on the basis of professional meetings, discussions (for example, initiating ethical behaviour training);

6.12. help achieve a high level of academic awareness and social responsibility.

PART III
STANDARDS OF ACADEMIC ETHICS

FIRST CHAPTER
GENERAL STANDARDS OF ACADEMIC ETHICS

7. The Code of Academic Ethics lays down the basic provisions for ethical business (academic) conduct, which illustrate the ethics policies of the University and are enforced by legal acts of the Republic of Lithuania, employment contracts and the internal regulations of the University.

8. Academic freedom shall mean the right of members of the academic community to express their attitude towards the on the organisation and administration of studies and research openly, to express critical comments in an uncensored manner, develop research activities through the choice of methods freely, as well as the commitment of the University to protect a member of the academic community from constraints. Responsible use of this right requires that members of the academic community recognise the same right as other members of the academic community, and maintains a tradition of critical thinking and an open discussion atmosphere in the University.

9. Academic freedom is opposed by:

9.1. intolerance to dissenting opinions and reasoned criticism from members of the academic community of the University;

9.2 disregarding or otherwise restricting the right of members of the academic community to express and defend their opinions in decision-making that is significant to the academic community of the University, its departments, or individually;

9.3. ignoring or deliberately limiting the right to respond to criticisms or accusations;

9.4. participation in scientific activities which result in damage to human, nature, society or culture.

10. Being a member of the academic community of the University commits a member to the overall interests of the University and to contributing, as far as possible, to the achievement of its study and research goals. Therefore, ethical academic community must:

10.1. actively adhere to the standards of academic integrity and open access in the study process and research activities;

10.2. ensure that results of initiated and / or performed activities related to the name of the University serve the general interests of the University and make are publicly available;

10.3. assume partial responsibility for the smooth operation of their institution; report in writing to the Ethics Commission or the Director of cases that may be related to corruption or attempts to unduly influence a member of the academic community of the University;

10.4. provide available information to the Ethics Commission when investigating potential violations of academic ethics and / or procedures;

10.5. refuse to exercise voting rights when dealing with a situation (financing, promotion and penalties, etc.) which may result in conflict of interest.

10.6. use name of the University and perform duties in the University for political purposes only with the written consent of the Director of the University;

10.7. Not disclose confidential information.

11. Relations between members of the academic community of the University shall be based on the principles of respect, benevolence, impartiality and non-discrimination. The aforementioned principles may be violated in the following cases:

11.1. discrimination of members of the academic community in terms of language, action or academic judgment on the grounds of age, sex, or sexual orientation, disability, appearance, race or ethnicity, addiction, religion or belief, as well as tolerating such discrimination;

11.2. humiliating a member of the academic community by exercising supremacy or by creating or aiming at creation an intimidating, hostile, degrading or humiliating environment through the tolerance of human rights abuses and degrading practices;

11.3. discrimination against members of the academic community for taking part in political, religious, social, cultural or sporting activities.

12. Relations between members of the academic community are based on the principles of collegiality and academic solidarity, aimed at ensuring the quality of studies and research activities and creative atmosphere. Academic ethics is violated when:

12.1. professional competition between colleagues takes unfair forms, when members are engaged in illegal, dishonourable (shameful and otherwise wicked) transactions, conceal information for members of the academic community, escalate and / or raise conflicts, intrigues;

12.2. a member of the academic community degrades the personal dignity of a member of the academic community in the face of other members and diminishes the pedagogical and / or scholarly abilities, theoretical views, and personal qualities of the participating / non-participating colleague;

12.3. a person recommends that a pedagogical title, award or other form of recognition of the work of a colleague shall be based on a personal, business or political relationship, not on the merits of the work submitted and the professional qualities of the applicant;

12.4. psychological pressure is exerted on a member of the academic community to gain personal judgment or to cover up dishonest practices;

12.5. when confidential information about members of the academic community is disclosed or publicly discussed (such as academic achievement, salary, career intent, health, personal matters, etc., except where, in accordance with the established procedure of the University, a person is applying for the position and discussion of his or her academic, career, experience, professional and personal qualities is necessary for the assessment of suitability for the position);

12.6. when the name of a member of the academic community is systematically (consistently) humiliated.

13. The use of resources of the University shall be conservative and intended to meet obligations of the University.

SECOND CHAPTER ETHICS OF PEDAGOGICAL ACTIVITIES

14. Relationships of the lecturer (teacher) with students is based on the principles of academic cooperation and transparency. Involvement in non-academic engagements can lead to conflicts of interest, consequently, the ambiguous relationships with students should be avoided. The aforementioned principles may be violated in the following cases:

14.1. the aspiration of a student or lecturer to establish a non-academic relationship with the lecturer or student, as appropriate, in relation to the course being taught, research leadership, or other direct academic relationship for the benefit of the academic relationship;

14.2. assuming the role of a lecturer in relation to a family member, close friend (having direct, close relationships), business partner (examinations, tutoring assignments, etc.) in cases where it is possible to avoid such role;

14.3. requiring and / or accepting, directly and indirectly (through others), gifts, non-academic or academic services not directly related to the courses being studied from members of the academic community.

15. The assessment of the student's knowledge, skills and abilities must be fair, honest and consistent with the objectives of the course being taught:

15.1. the lecturer must react in a principled manner and report cases of student dishonesty to the Ethics Commission, for instance, plagiarism, copying, data falsification, fabrication, tampering

of exam or test result, use of outside assistance during exam or assessment, submitting a foreign study work as your own, writing assignments for other students for reward, purchasing a paper and submitting it to an academic community member for evaluation, submitting the same assessed written paper for another course, etc.;

15.2. the lecturer must reduce the chances of the students' academic dishonesty occurring during the tests;

15.3. the lecturer does not have the right to require personal data from the student or lecturer in group discussions, except for cases provided by the Law on Legal Protection of Personal Data of the Republic of Lithuania;

15.4. the lecturer must protect personal data of a student in accordance with the procedure prescribed by the Law on Legal Protection of Personal Data of the Republic of Lithuania;

15.5. the lecturer must avoid associating the student's knowledge assessments with the student's participation (absence) in political or public activities acceptable to the lecturer;

15.6. the lecturer must provide students with special needs (such as visual, hearing, mobility and other disabilities) with appropriate opportunities during the assessment so that they can complete the assignment.

16. Disclosure of confidential student information is detrimental to the atmosphere of trust. The principle of confidentiality of information requires:

16.1. disclose information about a student's academic assessments or penalties to third parties only with the written consent of the student or legal basis for the intended harm to other individuals;

16.2. avoid discussing various student achievements, except when evaluating student appeals, exam or test results, and other academic issues whose objective judgment clearly justifies their discussion;

16.3. use private information for teaching or research purposes only with the written consent of the student;

16.4. ensure that comments on a student's paperwork which has not been submitted for public defence are not accessible to third parties.

17. Each lecturer, researcher, administrative staff member employed or joining the University is encouraged to sign the Declaration of Integrity of the Academic Staff (Annex No 1)

THIRD CHAPTER ETHICS OF SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES

18. Scientific activities must be based on honest research and the pursuit of truth, and the conduct of the researcher must be based on morality and socially responsible behaviour. Scientific research must not harm the public and the environment. Recognising truth and cognition as the ultimate pursuit of scientific activity, in the hope of trust and a fair appreciation of their achievements, members of the academic community engaged in applied research are committed to the principle of academic integrity.

The principle of academic integrity in applied research is violated by:

18.1. Counterfeiting, falsifying or manipulating (for example, creating missing data, adjusting existing data to improve or degrade results). Typical cases of counterfeiting, falsification or manipulation:

18.1.1. Forged signatures, certificates, report data or results, and other documents (such as data or results from academic assignments (laboratory, term papers, etc.));

18.1.2. Inclusion of non-existing sources, erroneous source page, date the website was visited, or other source description data;

18.1.3. Non-disclosure of obtained data contrary to the research hypothesis and / or questions and / or the conclusion of the research findings;

18.1.4. deliberate misleading presentation of empirical research methodology;

18.1.5. unreasonable (undeserved) imposition of co-authorship on students, colleagues, subordinates, or supervisors;

18.1.6. unjustified denial or omission of intellectual and / or financial contributions from research personnel.

18.2. Theft or wilful damage of empirical research data, computer programs, samples of empirical material, manuscript;

18.3. Plagiarism, i.e., expropriation of ideas, data or texts without reference to the author, i.e., presenting strange ideas as your own. Typical cases of plagiarism:

18.3.1. alien text presented without quotation marks or other type of exclusion throughout the text (for example, a separate paragraph, italics);

18.3.2. the exact source is not mentioned when reposting or quoting an alien idea, illustration or data;

18.3.3. self-plagiarism, i.e., submitting your ideas, data, or texts more than once, claiming them to be original material.

18.4. Incorrect, biased criticism of a colleague's work and deliberate denial due personal antipathy, competition, political or other reasons unrelated to the professionalism of the scientific work being evaluated;

18.5. Assisting someone with academic activities that violate the principle of academic integrity.

18.6. Academic Bribery, i.e., offering or giving remuneration for academic services (personal or group).

19. Each researcher employed or joining the University is encouraged to sign the Declaration of Integrity of the Researcher (Annex No 2).

FOURTH CHAPTER ETHICS OF STUDENT AND LISTENER ACADEMIC ACTIVITY

20. Students and listeners follow the principle of academic integrity in the study process.

The principle of academic integrity in the study process is violated by:

20.1. copying or allowing someone else to copy;

20.2. failure to report instances of academic dishonesty reported by members of the academic community;

20.3. prompting, use of blanks, use of electronic or virtual means of communication, other technologies in order to achieve an undeserved evaluation result, disregarding the examiner's instructions regarding the assessment procedure;

20.4. plagiarism, i.e., presenting alien paperwork as your own;

20.5. use of another person's work or its results at the assessment;

20.6. submission of the same paperwork for several courses;

20.7. passing examination by involving another person, or passing examination instead of another person, etc.;

20.8. purchase and sale of study papers;

20.9. counterfeiting of study papers. Typical cases:

20.9.1. correction of already assessed paperwork without consent of a lecturer in order to request a higher grade;

20.9.2. forgery of lecturer's signature, falsification of certificates, other documents;

20.9.3. counterfeiting of data or results of academic assignments (projects, laboratory, term, final and other papers).

20.10. Bribery– offering or giving remuneration for academic services (personal or group).

21. Student is prohibited from recording, filming and taking pictures of the lecturer without the consent of the lecturer.

22. Each student and student enrolled in the University shall sign the Declaration of Academic Integrity of the student (Annex No 3) / Declaration of Academic Integrity of the listener (Annex No. 4) valid throughout the term of the study agreement. This declaration may be signed by listeners in the manner prescribed by the institution of higher education. Moreover, the University

shall have a right to apply the commitment to honesty for each examination (for instance, require students to write the following sentence on the first page of the written test: „I undertake to complete this assignment independently and in good faith.“), perform audio and / or video recording. Commitment to honesty, audio and video records shall be kept for the number of days within which the appeal may be filed to the University, and in the absence of an appeal, commitments to honesty, audio and video records shall be managed in accordance with the procedure established by the University.

23. Students and listeners undertake to use infrastructure of the University (scientific and computer equipment, library, and other resources) in a responsible and dedicated manner.

PART IV ADOPTION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CODE OF ACADEMIC ETHICS OF THE UNIVERSITY

24. The drafts of the Code shall be submitted for public discussion to the academic community and shall be subject to the general approval of the academic community. This is considered to be a condition of the effectiveness of the Code applied in order to make the Code a commitment rather than an obligation.

25. The Code establishes procedures for dealing with violations of academic ethics at institutional and national levels.

26. The Code shall be made public.

27. The University may organise ethics training for its academic community, publish practical publications on the implementation of ethical principles, establish a unit or appoint an academic ethics consultant, and take other steps to ensure the implementation of academic ethics.

28. Disciplinary penalties for violations of academic ethics established by the Code are differentiated according to the gravity of the violation, and the Rules of Procedure of the Ethics Commission of the University governing activities of the Ethics Commission define the procedure for processing reports, the criteria for imposing disciplinary actions and other provisions that allow an objective and fair assessment of the circumstances of a report and the submission of a proposal for a decision. Disciplinary penalties include various behavioural control measures (for example, warning, disclosure of information about non-certification of a member of the academic community, offering a public apology, expulsion from the University without the right to return to study for a certain period, obligation to study additional courses, cancellation of the diploma, etc.).

29. The Code cannot provide for all cases of unethical conduct, and the Ethics Commission, when examining specific reports of inappropriate conduct in cases not contemplated by the Code, determines whether a particular act is consistent with the recognised academic ethical values of the academic community; the Ethics Commission reserves the right to refer cases of unethical conduct not provided for in the Code of Academic Ethics of the University to the Office of the Comptroller of Ethics and Procedures.

30. The Commission shall submit an annual activity report in accordance with the procedure laid down by the Academic Council of the University.

PART V SUPERVISION OF THE CODE OF ACADEMIC ETHICS

31. The Code of Ethics is supervised by the Ethics Commission (hereinafter referred to as the Commission).

32. Activities of the Commission shall be governed by the provisions of the Code of Ethics approved by the Academic Council of the University, provisions, the Rules of Procedure of the Commission, and other laws and regulations.

33. The Commission shall initiate additions or amendments to the Code and submit them to the Academic Council of the University for consideration and approval.

34. The Commission shall accept and consider reports or information from the academic community of the University regarding potential ethical violations.
35. Commission formation and organisation of activities:
 - 35.1. the commission shall consist of nine permanent members. the members of the Commission shall be approved by the Academic Council of the University for a term of five years;
 - 35.2. each faculty of the University shall nominate three staff members to the Commission, of whom the Academic Council of the University shall approve three members, the ones who gained the majority of votes of the Academic Council;
 - 35.3. Representatives of students shall appoint three members to the Commission;
 - 35.4. one member to the Commission shall be appointed by the Labour Board of the University;
 - 35.5. The Academic Council of the University shall appoint two members to the Commission from the social partners at the request of the deans of the University faculties.
36. Members of the Commission may serve no more than two consecutive terms.
37. Meetings of the Commission shall be convened upon receipt of notification or information regarding potential violations of academic ethics and / or procedures or to initiate additions or revisions to the Code.
38. The meetings of the Commission shall be convened and chaired by the Chairman of the Commission. If the notification or information received is related to the Chairman of the Commission, the meeting shall be convened and chaired by the oldest of the remaining members of the Commission. The Commission shall be entitled to invite experts to its meetings.
39. The Commission does not investigate a notification or information about potential violations of academic ethics if more than one calendar year has elapsed between the date of the alleged violation of ethics and the date of receipt of the notification or information. The Commission shall notify the person in writing of its decision not to examine the notification or information within 10 working days of receipt of the notification or information.
40. If the University identifies evidence of a potential violation of academic ethics, it shall notify the Commission, which shall consider such notification in accordance with the provisions of paragraphs 41 to 48.3 of the Code.
41. Notifications of alleged violations of academic ethics shall be submitted in writing to the Chairman of the Commission. Only reasoned notifications shall be considered. Anonymous notifications shall not be considered. The Commission shall have the right to initiate consideration at its own initiative.
42. Grounds for initiating proceedings for alleged violation of academic ethics are as follows:
 - 42.1. a written statement by the person (applicant) stating the facts and the supporting documents;
 - 42.2. when the Commission becomes aware of information about an alleged ethical violation.
43. The Commission shall consider the notification or information received, take a decision and reply in writing (signed by the Chairman of the Commission) to the applicant or person concerned within 20 working days of receipt of the notification or information. The Commission may, by means of a reasoned decision, extend the period for consideration of the notification or information.
44. Member of the academic community of the University subject to the notification or information shall be informed of the content of the notification and shall provide written explanations within 5 working days of the date of notification. At the request of a member of the academic community of the University who have submitted notice or information, the existing material relating to the personal data subject may be made available and, where appropriate, anonymised by the identification of personal data of another person. The Commission shall have the right to take a decision if any member of the academic community of the University being the subject of the notification or information refuses or fails to submit explanations within the required term.

45. Member of the academic community of the University being the subject of the notification or information shall have a right to take part in the meeting of the Commission related to the consideration of a notification or information relating to it.

46. Members of the Commission are required to maintain confidentiality and do to disclose (disseminate) the material and / or information under consideration during and ensure confidentiality of the notifier during the consideration.

47. Decisions of the Commission shall be taken by a simple majority of votes. In the event of a tie vote, the Chairman of the Commission shall take the decision.

48. The Commission, having considered the notification or information and determined that there has been a violation of academic ethics, shall decide in the light of the gravity of the violation:

48.1. warn in writing a member of the academic community of the University and publish anonymised information; publish decision of the Commission on the website of the University;

48.2. recommend the Chairman of the University of one of the following disciplinary measures: for students and listeners – warning, disclosure of information about non-certification of a member of the academic community, a public apology, expulsion from the University without the right to return to study for a certain period, obligation to study additional courses, cancellation of the diploma, etc.

48.3. for lecturers, administration, researches – warning, disclosure of information about non-certification of a member of the academic community, a public apology, obligation to study additional courses, dismissal;

48.4. recommend ethical safeguards in the University.

PART VI FINAL PROVISIONS

49. The Code of Academic Ethics is effective from the date of issue. Provisions of the Code of Academic Ethics may be revised and amended at the proposal of the Commission. The Code of Academic Ethics shall be approved by the Academic Council of the University.

50. Every member of the academic community of the University shall act in accordance with the current Code of Academic Ethics.

51. Every member of the academic community of the University may voluntarily sign a Declaration of Academic Integrity upon entering into an employment or study contract.

52. Persons employed at the University confirm in their employment contract that they have become acquainted with the Code of Academic Ethics of Klaipėda State University of Applied Sciences.

Klaipėda State University of Applied Sciences, 111968056, Jaunystės Str. 1, LT-91274
Klaipėda

(name of science and study institution, code of legal entity, address)

(Name, surname)

(Division)

DECLARATION OF INTEGRITY OF THE ACADEMIC STAFF

20

By recognising that:

- truth, knowledge and understanding are the highest values of science and study, and values of the University are established in the strategic documents of the University;
- only in a fair way a person may gain an advantage over other members of the academic community.

Noting that the academic integrity:

- facilitates the openness and integration of the science and study system into the international research and higher education space, the development of a harmonious system of science and studies and the development of an ethically responsible person;
- encourages academic ethics, responsible use of academic freedom and fair competition;
- strengthens trust in the value of higher education and research and has a direct positive impact on the quality of studies and the name of the institution of research and higher education;
- restricts the tolerance and prosperity of society's faults (especially corruption).

By understanding that:

- each member of the academic community must take the initiative and responsibility for seeking solutions to academic dishonesty and applying them to every academic activity;
- commitment to adhere unconditionally to the principle and rules of academic integrity helps to reduce the incidence of this problem.

By signing this declaration by free and conscious will, I undertake to:

- always comply with the provisions of the Code of Academic Ethics of Klaipėda State University of Applied Sciences and other documents regulating ethical behaviour;
- treat responsibly my duties as an academic staff member and perform them honestly;
- acting as an academic employee, to set an example to other members of the academic community, not to tolerate cases of academic dishonesty, and to fulfil my duty to report and disclose such cases;
- contribute actively to the development and promotion of a fair academic environment in the

Klaipėda State University of Applied Sciences.

I agree that I should be subject to disciplinary action for violations of academic ethics.

(signature)

(name and surname)

Klaipėda State University of Applied Sciences, 111968056, Jaunystės Str. 1, LT-91274
Klaipėda

(name of science and study institution, code of legal entity, address)

(Name, surname)

(Division)

DECLARATION OF INTEGRITY OF THE RESEARCHER

20

By recognising that:

- truth, knowledge and understanding are the highest values of science and study, and values established in the Code of Academic Ethics of the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences, Statute of the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences, the Law on Science and Studies of the Republic of Lithuania are institutional values of the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences;

- only in a fair way a person may gain an advantage over other members of the academic community.

Noting that the academic integrity:

- facilitates the openness and integration of the science and study system into the international research and higher education space, the development of a harmonious system of science and studies and the development of an ethically responsible person;

- encourages academic ethics, responsible use of academic freedom and fair competition;

- strengthens trust in the value of higher education and research and has a direct positive impact on the quality of studies and the name of the institution of research and higher education;

- restricts the tolerance and prosperity of society's faults (especially corruption).

By understanding that:

- each member of the academic community must take the initiative and responsibility for seeking solutions to academic dishonesty and applying them to every academic activity;

- commitment to adhere unconditionally to the principle and rules of academic integrity helps to reduce the incidence of this problem.

By signing this declaration by free and conscious will, I undertake to:

- comply with the provisions of the Code of Academic Ethics of the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences, Statute of the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences, the Law on Science and Studies of the Republic of Lithuania and other documents regulating the ethical behaviour;

- acting as a researcher, to set an example to other members of the academic community, not to tolerate cases of academic dishonesty, and to fulfil my duty to report and disclose such cases;

- contribute actively to the development and promotion of a fair academic environment in the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences.

I agree that I should be subject to disciplinary sanctions provided for in the Code of Academic Ethics of the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences for violations of academic ethics in the conduct of applied research and the publication of their results.

(signature)

(name and surname)

Klaipėda State University of Applied Sciences, 11968056, Jaunystės Str. 1, LT-91274
Klaipėda

(name of science and study institution, code of legal entity, address)

(Full name of the student, and number of the student certificate)

(Name of the faculty or other division)

(Study programmes, and academic group)

DECLARATION OF ACADEMIC INTEGRITY OF A STUDENT

_____ 20 _____

By recognising that:

- truth, knowledge and understanding are the highest values of science and study, and values established in the Code of Academic Ethics of the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences, Statute of the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences, the Law on Science and Studies of the Republic of Lithuania are institutional values of the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences;

- only in a fair way a person may gain an advantage over other members of the academic community.

Noting that the academic integrity:

- facilitates the openness and integration of the science and study system into the international research and higher education space, the development of a harmonious system of science and studies and the development of an ethically responsible person;

- encourages academic ethics, responsible use of academic freedom and fair competition;

- strengthens trust in the value of higher education and research and has a direct positive impact on the quality of studies and the name of the institution of research and higher education;

- restricts the tolerance and prosperity of society's faults (especially corruption).

By understanding that:

- each member of the academic community must take the initiative and responsibility for seeking solutions to academic dishonesty and applying them to every academic activity;

- commitment to adhere unconditionally to the principle and rules of academic integrity helps to reduce the incidence of this problem.

By signing this declaration by free and conscious will, I undertake to:

- comply with the provisions of the Code of Academic Ethics of Klaipėda State University of Applied Sciences and other documents regulating ethical behaviour within the entire term of studies;

- treat responsibly my duties as a student and perform them honestly;

- acting as a student, to set an example to other members of the academic community, not to tolerate cases of academic dishonesty, and to fulfil my duty to report and disclose such cases;

- contribute actively to the development and promotion of a fair academic environment in the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences.

I agree that I should be subject to disciplinary sanctions provided for in the Code of Academic Ethics of the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences for violations of tests, examinations and other mid-term examinations, preparing and submitting independent or final papers, conducting researches, publishing research results (e.g., in publications, events).

(signature)

(name and surname)

Klaipėda State University of Applied Sciences, 111968056, Jaunystės Str. 1, LT-91274
Klaipėda

(name of science and study institution, code of legal entity, address)

(Name, surname)

(Name of the faculty or other division)

(study programme, academic group)

DECLARATION OF ACADEMIC INTEGRITY OF A LISTENER

_____ 20 _____

By recognising that:

- truth, knowledge and understanding are the highest values of science and study, and values established in the Code of Academic Ethics of the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences, Statute of the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences, the Law on Science and Studies of the Republic of Lithuania are institutional values of the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences;

- only in a fair way a person may gain an advantage over other members of the academic community.

Noting that the academic integrity:

- facilitates the openness and integration of the science and study system into the international research and higher education space, the development of a harmonious system of science and studies and the development of an ethically responsible person;

- encourages academic ethics, responsible use of academic freedom and fair competition;

- strengthens trust in the value of higher education and research and has a direct positive impact on the quality of studies and the name of the institution of research and higher education;

- restricts the tolerance and prosperity of society's faults (especially corruption).

By understanding that:

- each member of the academic community must take the initiative and responsibility for seeking solutions to academic dishonesty and applying them to every academic activity;

- commitment to adhere unconditionally to the principle and rules of academic integrity helps to reduce the incidence of this problem.

By signing this declaration by free and conscious will, I undertake to:

- comply with the provisions of the Code of Academic Ethics of Klaipėda State University of Applied Sciences and other documents regulating ethical behaviour within the entire term of studies;

- treat responsibly my duties as a student and perform them honestly;

- acting as a listener, to set an example to other members of the academic community, not to tolerate cases of academic dishonesty, and to fulfil my duty to report and disclose such cases;

- contribute actively to the development and promotion of a fair academic environment in the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences.

I agree that I should be subject to disciplinary sanctions provided for in the Code of Academic Ethics of the Klaipėda State of the University of Applied Sciences for violations of tests, examinations and other mid-term examinations, preparing and submitting paperwork, conducting researches, publishing research results (e.g., in publications, events).

(signature) (name, surname)